Roundtable

COST Action TD1408 Meetings – Friday 22 April 2016 - Delft
Agenda

0900-0915  Introducing the Roundtable (Arjan) and Introducing the all Working Groups plenary Meeting (Olivia)
0915-10:30  Roundtable (Arjan Chairs) Roundtable to derive lessons from previous day’s presentations and discussions. All INTREPID members and speakers from the previous day are invited to attend
1030-1100  Coffee
1100-1130  Roundtable: Wrap up and Conclusions (WG2 leads: Arjan, Dominic, Carlo, Chantal)
1130-1300  all Working groups plenary Meeting (Olivia and Marta Chair)
1300       END
1400-1500  Core group meeting
Roundtable – overview speakers

- Geert Teisman (/NWO-VIDI; VerDuS, SURF)
  need for ID/MD, to solve ‘wicked problems’, but the wickedness is also in the evaluation and execution; ID in excellence science calls doesn’t work: disciplinary structures are stronger embedded in academic world than ID, MD;

- RistoVikko (AKA)
  definition ID derived from ESF; no specific funding for ID, however, implicitly needed to take up societal challenges; ID is no goal in itself; quality & feasibility equally important in evaluation

- Carolien Maas (NWO-SURF)
  SURF is truly ID & MD; evaluation by dual panels (same weight); project formation helped by network manager and matchmaking; real program mgt. new instruments under developments

- Arjan van Binsbergen (JPI UE)
  ID/MD/Co-creation in the core of JPI UE; terms in glossary; challenges to appeal to all target groups and evaluate fairly; use of mixed panels; two-step approach allows emphasis on innovativeness; various interwoven challenges

- Hans Günther Schwarz (BMVIT, ENSCC)
  GSCs need new forms of R&I funding; need for ID/MD and a mission; need for facilitate dialogue between MD parties; need for long-term strategy
Roundtable – overview speakers

– Geert Teisman (/EUR; P31; program execution)
  it takes one year to learn each others language; people matter; effective ID/MD needs ‘attractors’; ID in ID/MD projects, but D once at home base...; need for flexible granting schemes.

– Frederico Savini (UvA)
  effective ID/MD needs appropriate funding scheme/frameworks; using a central framework helps ID, but flexibility needed to accommodate different views; having a (spatial) case helps to focus and to collaborate; need for/use of feedback loops, reflective practices; need for intermediaries.

– Mirjama Milanovic (AMS)
  workshops helps to bridge differences between ID/MD groups; different timelines, scopes in practice and science, interpretations and dependency of external actors; involvement of more disciplines needed; more variety in funding schemes needed.

– Christof Schremmer (VIE)
  involvement of stakeholders in important; original forms to conceptualise conclusions work quite wel (cartoonist); GSC often need a holistic approach, but can be hampered by institutional and legal barriers. Need to radically rethink funding cycle – need for both shorter and much longer running / follow up schemes.
Roundtable – reflections WG1

• Reflecting and learning
  – building a common basis
Roundtable – reflections WG2

• Building networks and cooperation
  – Creating the building blocks: stakeholder groups
  – Cities
  – Academia
  – Funding
Roundtable – reflections WG3

• Enabling interdisciplinarity
Roundtable – wrap up & conclusions

- Carlo, Dominic, Chantal, Arjan
- Reflections/comments/recommendations